

DEBORAH L. CROWLEY, C.P.A.
TODD C. LANDRY, C.P.A.
JOHN F. PRENTICE, C.P.A.



DAVID M. THAYER, C.P.A.
FRANK M. ZABALETA, C.P.A.

*Certified Public Accountants
and
Business Advisors*

Board of Trustees
Riverside Community College District
Riverside, California

We have audited the financial statements of the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects of the Riverside Community College District for the year ended June 30, 2007 and have issued our report thereon dated September 13, 2007. In connection with that audit, we are providing you with the following information.

OUR RESPONSIBILITY UNDER U.S. GENERALLY ACCEPTED AUDITING STANDARDS

As stated in our engagement letter dated September 21, 2005, our responsibility, as described by professional standards, is to plan and perform our audit to obtain reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the financial statements are free of material misstatement and are fairly presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Because an audit is designed to provide reasonable, but not absolute, assurance and because we did not perform a detailed examination of all transactions, there is a risk that material misstatements may exist and not be detected by us.

As part of our audit, we considered the internal control of Riverside Community College District. Such considerations were solely for the purpose of determining our audit procedures and not to provide any assurance concerning such internal control.

SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Management has the responsibility for selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. In accordance with the terms of our engagement letter, we will advise management about the appropriateness of accounting policies and their application. The significant accounting policies used by Riverside Community College District are described in Note 2 to the financial statements. No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during the year ended June 30, 2007. We noted no transactions entered into by the District during the year that were both significant and unusual, and of which, under professional standards, we are required to inform you, or transactions for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus.

AUDIT ADJUSTMENTS

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define an audit adjustment as a proposed correction of the financial statements that, in our judgment, may not have been detected except through our auditing procedures. An audit adjustment may or may not indicate matters that could have a significant effect on the District's financial reporting process (that is, cause future financial statements to be materially misstated). In our judgment, none of the adjustments we proposed, whether recorded or unrecorded by the District, either individually or in the aggregate, indicate matters that could have a significant effect on the District's financial reporting process.

DISAGREEMENTS WITH MANAGEMENT

For purposes of this letter, professional standards define a disagreement with management as a matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, concerning a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditors' report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

CONSULTATION WITH OTHER INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANTS

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a "second opinion" on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the District's financial statements or a determination of the type of auditors' opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

ISSUES DISCUSSED PRIOR TO RETENTION OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

We often discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management prior to our retention as auditors. However, no discussions occurred prior to our retention as auditors for the General Obligation Bond Capital Outlay Projects of the Riverside Community College District for which our responses were a condition to our retention.

DIFFICULTIES ENCOUNTERED IN PERFORMING THE AUDIT

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

This information is intended solely for the use of the Board of Trustees and management of Riverside Community College District and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Eadie and Payne, LHP

September 13, 2007