

### Summary:

## Riverside Community College District, California; General Obligation

#### Primary Credit Analyst:

Alda A Mostofi, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5061; alda\_mostofi@standardandpoors.com

#### Secondary Credit Analyst:

Matthew Reining, San Francisco (1) 415-371-5044; matthew\_reining@standardandpoors.com

### Table Of Contents

---

Rationale

Outlook

Related Criteria And Research

**Summary:**

# Riverside Community College District, California; General Obligation

Credit Profile		
US\$105. mil GO bnds ser 2010D due 08/01/2040		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	New
US\$5. mil GO bnds (Tax-Exempt) ser 2010D-1 due 08/01/2040		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA/Stable	New
Riverside Comnty Coll Dist GO bnds ser 2007C dtd 06/21/2007 due 08/01/2007-2010 2025-2027 2032		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Upgraded
Riverside Comnty Coll Dist GO tax-exempt 2004 ser A due 08/01/2011-2026 2029 & taxable ser B due 08/01/2005-2007		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Upgraded
<b>Riverside Comnty Coll Dist GO</b>		
<i>Unenhanced Rating</i>	AA(SPUR)/Stable	Upgraded
Many issues are enhanced by bond insurance.		

## Rationale

Standard & Poor's Ratings Services raised its rating to 'AA' from 'AA-' on Riverside Community College District, Calif's outstanding general obligation (GO) bonds. The rating action reflects our view of the district's track record of maintaining healthy reserves. At the same time, Standard & Poor's assigned its 'AA' rating to the district's series 2010D GO bonds and taxable series 2010D-1 GO Build America Bonds (BABs).

The ratings reflect our view of the district's:

- Flexibility to manage enrollments and class offerings to maximize state funding;
- Strong income and wealth indicators; and
- History of maintaining healthy financial operations.

Partially tempering our view of the above credit strengths is our view of the district's historical level of unfunded full-time equivalent students (FTEs), as well as recent volatility in the district's tax base.

Unlimited ad valorem taxes levied on taxable property within the district secure the GO bonds. The Riverside County Board of Supervisors has the power and obligation to levy these taxes at the district's request for the bonds' repayment. The county is required to deposit such taxes, when collected, into the bonds' debt service fund. The district has stated that it intends to designate the series 2010D-1 of the bonds as Build America Bonds, as authorized by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act (ARRA) of 2009. Under ARRA, the district expects the bonds to qualify for a cash subsidy from the U.S. Treasury equivalent to 35% of the amount of each interest payment. It is our understanding that the district is obligated to pay 100% of principal and interest on the bonds. It is our understanding the proceeds of the bonds will be used to finance the acquisition and maintenance of certain district facilities.

Riverside Community College District is in the western side of Riverside County, spanning 440 square miles. The district includes Riverside City Community College, Moreno Valley Community College and Norco Community College. The county, with a population of 2.1 million, has been one of California's fastest-growing counties in terms of population, employment, commercial and industrial development, and AV due to the higher cost of land and housing in the greater Los Angeles region and in San Diego and Orange counties. However, growth has slowed considerably in the past three years. Formerly a single-college district, beginning in fiscal 2011, the district plans to operate as multi-college district, which management has expressed will qualify the district for an additional \$1.3 million state appropriation per year, per college.

The district's assessed value (AV) has declined during the past two years, following three years of growth at an average rate of nearly 15%. Fiscal 2010 AV declined by 11%, with an additional 2.7% decline for fiscal 2011, down to \$70.8 billion. The district's AV trend is in line with the overall trend of the county. Approximately 75% of the district's AV comprises residential space, with the remaining 25% categorized as commercial and industrial. We consider the district's leading 10 property taxpayers to be very diverse, as they account for only 2.1% of total AV. The district's resident wealth indicator, reflected by market value per capita is what we consider to be strong, at \$68,158 for fiscal 2011. Income indicators measured by median household effective buying income (EBI) are strong and by per capita EBI are good at 110% and 82%, respectively, of the national levels.

The district has attracted a growing number of students during the past decade, as FTEs hit a decade high of 31,696 in fiscal 2010. Similar to other community college districts in California, in fiscal 2010, the state lowered the number of FTEs they were funding in the district, to 26,245 from 27,237 in the prior year. The lower number of funded FTEs resulted in approximately 5,000 unfunded FTEs for 2010, nearly 16% of that fiscal year's FTE student enrollment. The district has expressed that in order to mitigate the large number of unfunded FTEs, a total reduction of 1,000 class sections took place throughout fiscal 2010. As a result, the district's fiscal 2011 budget projects FTEs enrollment to be reduced by 7%. Together with the lowering of the California Community Colleges System funding to 26,823 FTEs, the reduction of unfunded FTEs has been reduced to approximately 2,400, or 8% of FTE enrollment. The district's management aims to maintain the level of unfunded FTEs at approximately 1,500, as the district aims to manage its enrollment to maximize state funding and class sizes. The district projects its fiscal 2011 base revenue limit funding of \$4,565 and \$2,745 for credited and non-credit FTEs, respectively, to remain unchanged from the prior fiscal year, but the district increased its fill rate to 106% from approximately 95% in the previous year to maximize enrollment growth without increasing costs. The district also educates a small proportion of international students -- about 400 out of the 29,675 FTEs -- who pay higher tuition.

General fund operating results have generally been positive for the past four fiscal years, with the exception of fiscal 2009 which incurred an operating deficit of \$1.3 million. Fiscal 2009 operations include a "one-time," \$6.3 million administration of certain grants by the district, which has been expressed to us by management as equally affecting revenues and expenditures, resulting in a net-zero impact on finances. For fiscal 2010, general fund revenues were approximately 1% less than the prior year if the \$6.2 million grant administration receipt is excluded. However management reduced expenditures by \$4 million, to \$167 million, primarily by the reduction of 1,000 class sections, and projects to close fiscal 2010 with an unreserved fund balance of \$10.5 million, or what we consider to be a good level, 6.2% of expenditures. The total general fund balance for fiscal 2010 is projected by management to be \$22.4 million, or what we consider to be strong, at 13% of expenditures. Management has expressed to us that the class section reduction did not result in furlough days or layoffs, as the district was able to benefit from its largely part-time faculty. For fiscal 2011, the district will continue to benefit from the section reduction savings, zero

compensation growth, and the additional state apportionment for being a multi-college district, and the district projects that it will maintain the same level of general fund reserves as in fiscal 2010.

We consider the district's management practices "good" under our Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology. An FMA of "good" indicates that financial reporting practices exist in most areas although not all may be formalized or regularly monitored by government officials. Highlights of the district's policies and practices include monthly budget reports to the board; informal, longer-term financial planning that incorporates major revenue and expense items; and a five-year capital plan that identifies funding sources. The district has a formal written reserve policy that requires a minimum of 5% of the combined balance of last year's ending fund balance and the current year's revenues to be held as a reserve in the general fund. Riverside Community College District invests its funds with the county and provides investment reports to the board upon request. The district does not have a formal debt management policy.

The overall debt burden is what we consider to be moderate, at 4.8% of market values and moderate, \$3,392, on a per capita basis. The \$110 million in aggregate issuances for the series 2010D bonds will be from the remaining 2004 election authorization, which will have approximately \$85 million in authorized but unissued in GO debt remaining after this issuance. A 2007 actuarial study calculated the district's Other Post Employment Benefits (OPEB) unfunded liability at \$9.8 million, with an annual required contribution of \$1.4 million, of which the district funds on a pay-as-you-go basis.

## Outlook

The stable outlook reflects Standard & Poor's expectation that the district's financial reserves and financial flexibility will likely allow it to withstand growth or possible future state funding pressures. If we believe the district is able to substantially build its reserves, the rating could be raised. If, however, in our view, the district's finances become substantially volatile and heavily reliant on its reserves to what we view to be a marginally thin reserve balance, the rating could be lowered.

## Related Criteria And Research

USPF Criteria: GO Debt, Oct. 12, 2006

Complete ratings information is available to RatingsDirect subscribers on the Global Credit Portal at [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) and RatingsDirect subscribers at [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com). All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com). Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2010 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC (S&P), a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies,

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P, its affiliates, and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P's opinions and analyses do not address the suitability of any security. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, [www.standardandpoors.com](http://www.standardandpoors.com) (free of charge), and [www.ratingsdirect.com](http://www.ratingsdirect.com) and [www.globalcreditportal.com](http://www.globalcreditportal.com) (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at [www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees](http://www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees).