To the Board of Directors
Riverside Community College District Foundation

We have audited the financial statements of Riverside Community College District Foundation (the “Foundation”) for the year ended June 30, 2012, and have issued our report thereon dated November 6, 2012. Professional standards require that we provide you with information about our responsibilities under auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Professional standards also require that we communicate to you the following information related to our audit.

Significant Audit Findings

Qualitative Aspects of Accounting Practices

Management is responsible for the selection and use of appropriate accounting policies. The significant accounting policies used by the Foundation are described in Note 1 to the financial statements. No new accounting policies were adopted and the application of existing policies was not changed during the year ended June 30, 2012. We noted no transactions entered into by the Foundation during the year for which there is a lack of authoritative guidance or consensus. All significant transactions have been recognized in the financial statements in the proper period.

Accounting estimates are an integral part of the financial statements prepared by management and are based on management’s knowledge and experience about past and current events and assumptions about future events. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ significantly from those expected. The most sensitive estimate affecting the financial statements was:

The fair market value of investments is based upon market analysis as of June 30, 2012. We have obtained information from the investment managers in determining the fair presentation of these amounts. We evaluated the key factors and assumptions used to develop this estimates in determining that it is reasonable in relation to the financial statements taken as a whole.

The financial statement disclosures are neutral, consistent, and clear.

Difficulties Encountered in Performing the Audit

We encountered no significant difficulties in dealing with management in performing and completing our audit.

Corrected and Uncorrected Misstatements

Professional standards require us to accumulate all misstatements identified during the audit, other than those that are clearly trivial, and communicate them to the appropriate level of management. Management has corrected all such misstatements.
The following material misstatements detected as a result of audit procedures were corrected by management:

- A prior period adjustment for the promise to give to the District of $1,009,708 at June 30, 2011, and
- An adjustment to record a refundable advance to the James Irvine Foundation for $113,592.

Professional standards require that we inform you about uncorrected misstatements aggregated by us during our engagement that were determined by management to be immaterial, both individually and in the aggregate, to the financial statements taken as a whole. The misstatements are listed in the attached summary of audit differences.

**Disagreements with Management**

For purposes of this letter, a disagreement with management is a financial accounting, reporting, or auditing matter, whether or not resolved to our satisfaction, that could be significant to the financial statements or the auditors’ report. We are pleased to report that no such disagreements arose during the course of our audit.

**Management Representations**

We have requested certain representations from management that are included in the management representation letter dated November 6, 2012.

**Management Consultations with Other Independent Accountants**

In some cases, management may decide to consult with other accountants about auditing and accounting matters, similar to obtaining a second opinion on certain situations. If a consultation involves application of an accounting principle to the Foundation’s financial statements or a determination of the type of auditors’ opinion that may be expressed on those statements, our professional standards require the consulting accountant to check with us to determine that the consultant has all the relevant facts. To our knowledge, there were no such consultations with other accountants.

**Other Audit Findings or Issues**

We generally discuss a variety of matters, including the application of accounting principles and auditing standards, with management each year prior to retention as the Foundation’s auditors. However, these discussions occurred in the normal course of our professional relationship and our responses were not a condition to our retention.

This information is intended solely for the use of the Board of Directors and management of the Foundation and is not intended to be, and should not be, used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Riverside, California
November 6, 2012

[Signature]
RIVERSIDE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT FOUNDATION

Summary of Audit Differences

June 30, 2012

(1)

Temporarily restricted contribution revenue $3,093
Discount on pledges receivable $3,093

To discount pledges receivable to net realizable value at June 30, 2012.

(2)

Temporarily restricted net assets $25,000
Temporarily restricted revenue $25,000

To record prior year adjustment for Kaiser grant that was a refundable advance at June 30, 2011.