# **RIVERSIDE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT**

# **RIVERSIDE COUNTY**

REPORT ON PROPOSITION 39 FUNDING FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE AUDITS June 30, 2017

# **RIVERSIDE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT**

# TABLE OF CONTENTSJune 30, 2017

Financial Audit of the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects	1
Independent Auditor's Report	
Balance Sheet – General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects	4
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects	5
Notes to the Financial Statements	6
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on a Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	11
Schedule of Findings and Responses	13
Performance Audit of the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects	14
Independent Auditor's Report on Proposition 39 Compliance Requirements	15
Background Information	16
Objectives	16
Scope of the Audit	16
Procedures Performed	17
Conclusion	17
Supplementary Information	18

# FINANCIAL AUDIT OF THE GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDED CAPITAL OUTLAY PROJECTS



CliftonLarsonAllen LLP CLAconnect.com

# **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

The Board of Trustees The Measure C Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee Riverside Community College District

# **Report on the Financial Statements**

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects of the Riverside Community College District (the District) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the table of contents.

# Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.



The Board of Trustees The Measure C Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee Riverside Community College District

# Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects of the District as of June 30, 2017, and the change in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

# **Emphasis of Matter**

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present fairly only the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects and do not purport to, and do not, present fairly the financial position of the District as of June 30, 2017 or the change in its financial positon for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

# **Other Matters**

# Required Supplementary Information

Management has omitted the management's discussion and analysis that accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require to be presented to supplement the fund financial statements. Such missing information, although not a part of the fund financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. Our opinion on the fund financial statements is not affected by this missing information.

# Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated October 9, 2017 on our consideration of the District's internal control over the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control over the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control over the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Control over the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects financial reporting or on compliance.

Clifton Larson Allen LLP

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP Glendora, California October 9, 2017

# BALANCE SHEET June 30, 2017

Assets	
Cash in county treasury	\$ 8,666,083
Accounts receivable	343,267
Due from other funds	 938
Total Assets	\$ 9,010,288
Liabilities and Fund Balance	
Liabilities	
Accounts payable	\$ 379,151
Due to other funds	 6,995
Total Liabilities	 386,146
Fund Balance	
Restricted	 8,624,142
Total Fund Balance	 8,624,142
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$ 9,010,288

See the accompanying notes to the financial statements

# STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

Revenues	
Interest income	\$ 84,627
Other local revenue	 213,750
Total Revenues	 298,377
Expenditures	
Salaries	293,125
Benefits	131,837
Supplies	1,520
Other services	146,146
Capital outlay	 1,710,065
Total Expenditures	 2,282,693
Net change in fund balance	(1,984,316)
Fund Balance at Beginning of Year	 10,608,458
Fund Balance at End of Year	\$ 8,624,142

See the accompanying notes to the financial statements

# NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

# NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### **Accounting Policies**

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and *Audits of State and Local Governmental Units* issued by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.

#### **Financial Reporting Entity**

These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and results of operations of the District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Fund Structure**

The Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balance is a statement of financial activities of the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects related to the current reporting period. Fund expenditures frequently include amounts for land, buildings, equipment, retirement of indebtedness, transfers to other funds, etc. Consequently, these statements do not purport to present the result of operations or the net income or loss for the period as would a statement of income for a profit-type organization.

#### **Basis of Accounting**

The General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects is maintained on the modified accrual basis of accounting. As such, revenues are recognized when they become susceptible to accrual, which is to say, when they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which the liability is incurred (when goods are received or services rendered).

Cash in the county treasury is recorded at cost, which approximates fair value, in accordance with the requirements of GASB Statement No. 31.

#### **Fund Balance Classification**

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 54, *Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions*, the governmental fund financial statements present fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based on the extent to which the District is bound to honor constraints on the specific purposes for which amounts can be spent. Amounts for which constraints have been placed on the use of the resources either (a) externally imposed by creditors, grantors,

# NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

# NOTE 1: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments, or (b) imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation are considered restricted. The fund balance of the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects is therefore classified as restricted.

#### **Capital Assets and Long-Term Debt**

The accounting and reporting treatment applied to the capital assets and long-term liabilities associated with the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects are determined by its measurement focus. The General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects is accounted for on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that only current assets and current liabilities are generally included on the balance sheet. The reported fund balance is considered a measure of "available spendable resources". Thus, the capital assets and long-term liabilities associated with the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects are accounted for in the basic financial statements of the District.

#### **Estimates**

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

#### NOTE 2: <u>DEPOSITS – CASH IN COUNTY TREASURY</u>

In accordance with Education Code Section 41001, the District maintains substantially all of its cash in the Riverside County Treasury as part of the common investment pool. These pooled funds are carried at amortized cost which approximates fair value. The fair value is 99.71% of the District's deposits for the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects in this pool as of June 30, 2017, as provided by the County Treasurer.

The county is authorized to deposit cash and invest excess funds by California Government Code Sections 53534, 53601, 53635, and 53648. The county is restricted to invest in time deposits, U.S. government securities, state registered warrants, notes or bonds, State Treasurer's investment pool, bankers' acceptances, commercial paper, negotiable certificates of deposit, and repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements. The funds maintained by the county are either secured by federal depository insurance or are collateralized. The county investment pool is not required to be rated. Interest earned is deposited quarterly into participating funds. Any investment losses are proportionately shared by all funds in the pool.

# NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

# NOTE 2: <u>DEPOSITS – CASH IN COUNTY TREASURY</u>

The county investment pool is not registered as an investment company with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) nor is it an SEC Rule 2a7-like pool. California Government Code statutes and the County Board of Supervisors set forth the various investment policies that the County Treasurer follows. The method used to determine the value of the participant's equity withdrawn is based on the book value, which is amortized costs, of the participant's percentage participation on the date of such withdrawals.

The pool sponsor's annual financial report may be obtained from the County of Riverside Treasurer-Tax Collector Capital Markets, 4080 Lemon Street, 4<sup>th</sup> Floor, Riverside, CA 92502.

#### NOTE 3: BONDED DEBT

On March 2, 2004, the voters of Riverside Community College District approved Measure C, a \$350 million bond measure designed to provide funds to improve facilities and safety at the Moreno Valley, Norco, and Riverside Colleges.

#### Series D and D-1

In October 2011, the District issued General Obligation Bonds, Series D and D-1 in the amount of \$109,999,278. These bonds consisted of \$7,699,278 tax-exempt Series D bonds and \$102,300,000 in federally taxable Build America Bonds Series D-1. The Build America Bonds program was created by the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act to assist state and local governments in financing capital projects at lower borrowing costs and to stimulate the economy and create jobs.

The District elected to treat the Series D-1 bonds as "Build America Bonds" under Section 54AA of the Tax Code, and the Series D-1 Bonds be "qualified bonds" under Section 54AA(g)(2) of the Tax Code which make the District eligible for a cash subsidy payment from the United States Treasury equal to 35% of the interest payable on the Series D-1 Bonds. The District will deposit the cash subsidy payments with the County to be credited to the Debt Service Fund for the Series D-1 Bonds. Cash subsidy payments are expected to be received contemporaneously with each interest payment date.

#### **Refunding**

In April 2014, the District issued General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series A (Tax Exempt) in the amount of \$29,130,000 to advance refund all or a portion of the outstanding principal amount of the District's General Obligation Series A Bonds, 2005 Refunding Bonds and General Obligation Series C Bonds and to pay costs of issuance associated with the Bonds. General Obligation Refunding Bonds, Series B (Federally Taxable) in the amount of \$43,960,000 were

## NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

#### NOTE 3: BONDED DEBT

also issued to advance refund a portion of the outstanding principal amount of the District's 2005 Refunding Bonds and to pay costs of issuance associated with the Bonds.

#### Series E and Refunding

In July 2015, the District issued General Obligation Bonds, Series E in the amount of \$45,004,145 to finance the repair, acquisition, construction, and equipping of certain district facilities, and to pay all legal, financial, and contingent costs in connection with the issuance of the Bonds. General Obligation Refunding Bonds were also issued in the amount of \$43,920,000 to advance refund the outstanding principal amount of the District's General Obligation Series C Bonds, and to pay costs of issuance associated with the Bonds.

The outstanding general obligation bonded debt of the District at June 30, 2017 is:

General Obligation Bonds	Date of Issue	Original Maturity Date	Interest Rate %	Amount of Original Issue	Outstanding July 1, 2016	Issued	Re	edeemed	Outstanding June 30, 2017
2010 Series D	10/27/2010	8/1/2025	2.36-5.53	\$ 7,699,278	\$ 7,483,064	\$	\$	292,495	\$ 7,190,569
2010 Series D-1	10/27/2010	8/1/2040	6.97-7.02	102,300,000	102,300,000				102,300,000
2014 Tax Exempt Refunding	5/29/2014	8/1/2027	2.00-5.00	29,130,000	28,625,000			365,000	28,260,000
2014 Taxable Refunding	5/29/2014	8/1/2024	0.40-3.61	43,960,000	42,530,000			3,415,000	39,115,000
2015 Series E	7/1/2015	8/1/2039	3.81-5.05	45,004,145	43,434,145			1,020,000	42,414,145
2015 Refunding	7/1/2015	8/1/2032	2.00-5.00	43,920,000	42,985,000			100,000	42,885,000
Total				\$ 272,013,423	\$ 267,357,209	\$	- \$	5,192,495	\$ 262,164,714

The annual debt service requirements to maturity for general obligation bonds are as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	Principal	Interest
2018	\$ 5,799,376	\$ 12,635,938
2019	4,894,266	12,818,830
2020	5,295,056	13,067,432
2021	5,813,846	13,082,058
2022	6,680,859	12,506,165
2023-2027	41,540,856	63,009,654
2028-2032	58,536,359	50,202,481
2033-2037	67,476,184	60,044,162
2038-2041	66,127,912	34,737,335
Total	\$ 262,164,714	\$ 272,104,055

Capital appreciation bonds were issued as part of the 2010 Series D and 2015 Series E issuances. Prior to their applicable maturity dates, each capital appreciation bond will accrete interest on the principal component, with all interest accreting through the applicable maturity date and payable only upon maturity or prior payment of the principal component. Accreted interest accrued has been reflected in the long term debt balance on the District's general purpose financial statements.

# NOTES TO THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2017

# NOTE 4: COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

# **Purchase Commitments**

As of June 30, 2017, the District was committed under various capital expenditure purchase agreements for bond projects totaling approximately \$1,480,000.



CliftonLarsonAllen LLP CLAconnect.com

# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

The Board of Trustees The Measure C Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee Riverside Community College District Riverside, California

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects financial statements of the Riverside Community College District (the District), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated October 9, 2017.

# **Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency or a combination of deficiencies in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



# INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of non-compliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

#### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Clifton Larson Allen LLP

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP Glendora, California October 9, 2017

# SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES June 30, 2017

There were no findings related to the financial audit of the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects for the year ended June 30, 2017. In addition, there were no findings related to the financial audit of the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects for the year ended June 30, 2016.

# PERFORMANCE AUDIT OF THE GENERAL OBLIGATION BOND FUNDED CAPITAL OUTLAY PROJECTS



CliftonLarsonAllen LLP CLAconnect.com

# **INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT**

The Board of Trustees The Measure C Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee Riverside Community College District

We have conducted a performance audit of the Riverside Community College District (the District) Measure C General Obligation Bond funds for the year ended June 30, 2017.

We conducted our performance audit in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed on page 16 of this report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements for the Proposition 39 Measure C General Obligation Bond funds under the applicable provisions of Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

Solely to assist us in planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the internal control of the District to determine if internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution. Accordingly, we do not express any assurance on internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the District expended Measure C General Obligation Bond funds for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, only for the specific projects developed by the District's Board of Trustees, and approved by the voters in accordance with the requirements of Proposition 39, as specified by Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution.

fton Larson Allen LLP

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP Glendora, California October 9, 2017



## PROPOSITION 39 PERFORMANCE AUDIT June 30, 2017

# **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

In November 2000, the voters of the State of California approved Proposition 39 authorizing the issuance of general obligation bonds by California public school districts and community colleges under certain circumstances and subject to certain conditions.

On March 2, 2004, the voters of Riverside Community College District approved Measure C, a \$350 million bond measure designed to provide funds to improve facilities and safety at the Moreno Valley, Norco, and Riverside campuses.

Pursuant to the requirements of Proposition 39, and related state legislation, the Board of Trustees of the District established a Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee and appointed its members. The principal purpose of the Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee, as set out in state law, is to inform the public as to the expenditures of the proceeds of the bonds issued pursuant to the Measure C bond authorization. The Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee is required to issue at least one report annually as to its activities and findings.

Section 1(b)(3)(C) of Article XIIIA of the California Constitution requires the District to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the proceeds of the bonds deposited into the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects – Measure C Bond Program have been expended only for the authorized bond projects.

# **OBJECTIVES**

The objectives of our performance audit were to:

- Determine the expenditures charged to the District Measure C General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects.
- Determine whether expenditures charged to the Measure C General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects have been made in accordance with the bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of Measure C in March 2004.

#### **SCOPE OF THE AUDIT**

The scope of our performance audit covered the fiscal period from July 1, 2016 to June 30, 2017. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other state or local funding sources, other than the proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of our audit. Expenditures incurred subsequent to June 30, 2017, were not reviewed or included within the scope of our audit or in this report.

# PROPOSITION 39 PERFORMANCE AUDIT June 30, 2017

# **PROCEDURES PERFORMED**

- We identified the expenditures and projects charged to the general obligation bond proceeds by obtaining the general ledger and project listing.
- We selected a judgmental sample of expenditures considering all object codes and projects for the year ended June 30, 2017. Our sample included 45 transactions totaling \$1,563,283 (84% of non-salary related expenditures) and \$424,962 of salaries and benefits (100% of salaries and benefits).
- We reviewed the actual invoices and supporting documentation to determine that expenditures charged to projects were:
  - Supported by invoices with evidence of proper approval and documentation of receipt of goods or services;
  - Supported by proper bid documentation, as applicable;
  - Properly expended on the authorized bond projects as listed on the voter-approved bond project list;
  - Used for salaries of administrators only to the extent they perform administrative oversight work on construction projects as allowable per Opinion 04-110 issued on November 9, 2004 by the State of California Attorney General.

# CONCLUSION

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the District has properly accounted for the expenditures of the funds held in the General Obligation Bond Funded Capital Outlay Projects – Measure C Bond Program and that such expenditures were made on authorized bond projects.

# SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

# PROPOSITION 39 PERFORMANCE AUDIT BOND PROJECT SUMMARY June 30, 2017

The District has identified the following projects to be funded with proceeds from the general obligation bonds. The District incurred costs of \$318,444,137 through June 30, 2017 for these construction projects. Capital outlay and other financing expenditures were as follows:

		COS	AL PROJECT IS THROUGH	Y 16-17	COS	AL PROJECT IS THROUGH
	BUDGET		ne 30, 2016*	 JAL COSTS		ine 30, 2017
Phase I - Parking Structure - Riverside	\$ 20,940,662	\$	20,940,662	\$ -	\$	20,940,662
Wheelock PE Complex/Athletic Field - Riverside	4,516,435		4,516,435	-		4,516,435
Swing Space - Riverside	4,273,734		4,273,733	-		4,273,733
Quad Modernization - Riverside	8,918,800		9,171,807	-		9,171,807
RCCD System Office Purchase	2,629,981		2,629,981	-		2,629,981
MLK Renovation - Riverside	1,010,614		1,010,614	-		1,010,614
Bridge Space - Riverside	1,175,132		1,175,132	-		1,175,132
Industrial Technology Facility Project - Norco	9,620,416		9,715,349	-		9,715,349
Computer/Network/ System Upgrades - District Wide	1,002,043		1,002,052	-		1,002,052
Phone and Voicemail Upgrades - District Wide	349,000		349,000	-		349,000
Scheduled Maintenance - Historic - District Wide	1,403,045		1,403,045	-		1,403,045
Nursing/Sciences Building - Riverside	18,272,600		16,658,802	(311,599)		16,347,203
Student/Academic Services Facility Project - Moreno Valley	6,497,414		5,917,791	-		5,917,791
Wheelock PE Complex Gymnasium Retrofit - Phase I & II - Riverside	13,738,332		13,204,882	-		13,204,882
Feasibility / Planning / Management / Staffing	6,489,873		6,225,003	557,844		6,782,847
Stokoe Innovative Learning Center - Riverside	7,399,505		7,399,506	-		7,399,506
ECS Secondary Effects - Moreno Valley	286,227		286,227	-		286,227
Room Renovations - Norco	100,019		100,019	-		100,019
Food Services Remodel - Riverside	987,705		987,705	-		987,705
Food Services Remodel - Moreno Valley	2,649,606		2,649,607	-		2,649,607
Infrastructure Projects - District Wide	484,414		484,414	-		484,414
Hot Water Loop System & Boiler Repl Moreno Valley	869,848		869,848	-		869,848
Emergency Phone Project - District Wide	379,717		379,717	-		379,717
Utility Retrofit Project - District Wide	6,181,188		6,181,189	-		6,181,189
Modular Redistribution Norco/MoVal/BC/Riv	8,431,362		8,425,862	-		8,425,862
ECS Building Upgrade Project - Moreno Valley/Norco	389,561		389,561	-		389,561
PBX Building - Riverside	428,119		428,119	-		428,119
PBX / NOC / M & O Facility - Norco	11,277,375		11,336,910	-		11,336,910
PBX / NOC / M & O Facility - Moreno Valley	3,524,082		2,692,119	79,091		2,771,210
Life Science / Physical Science Reconstruction - Riverside	152,500		152,500	-		152,500
Center for Student Success - Norco	15,633,873		15,633,873	-		15,633,873
Long Range Master Plan - District Wide	1,439,077		1,439,077	-		1,439,077
Logic Domain - Capital Project Management System	162,375		174,488	12,750		187,238
Aquatics Center - Riverside	11,028,683		10,865,983	-		10,865,983
Soccer Field / Artificial Turf - Norco	3,904,973		3,879,314	-		3,879,314
Learning Gateway Building - Moreno Valley	5,058,973		4,984,261	-		4,984,261
Bradshaw Building Electrical Project - Riverside	366,353		366,353	-		366,353
Quad Basement Remodel Project - Riverside	352,941		352,941	-		352,941
Black Box Theatre Remodel Project - Riverside	10,955		10,955	-		10,955
Technology Building A Remodel Project - Riverside	11,375		11,375	-		11,375
Center for Health, Wellness, and Kinesiology Phase I - Norco	86,500		86,500	-		86,500
Health Science Center - Moreno Valley	164,971		164,971	-		164,971

See independent auditor's report -19-

# PROPOSITION 39 PERFORMANCE AUDIT BOND PROJECT SUMMARY June 30, 2017

		TOTAL PROJECT	EV 17 17	TOTAL PROJECT
	DUDCET	COSTS THROUGH	FY 16-17	COSTS THROUGH
ADA Transition Plan - District Wide	BUDGET	June 30, 2016*	ACTUAL COSTS	June 30, 2017
	6,360,000	6,046,162	-	6,046,162
March Dental Education Center - Moreno Valley	9,873,530	9,873,529		9,873,529
Secondary Effects Project - Norco	16,026,584	16,028,280	(100)	16,028,180
Utility Infrastructure Project - District Wide	6,232,049	6,232,049	-	6,232,049
Safety and Site Improvement Project - Norco	967,442	967,442	-	967,442
Safety and Site Improvement Project - Moreno Valley	719,827	719,827	-	719,827
Administrative Move to Humanities Bldg - Moreno Valley	25,990	25,990	-	25,990
Science Laboratories Remodel Project - Moreno Valley	302,803	302,804	-	302,804
Ben Clark Public Safety Training Center - Center Status - Moreno Valley	84,500	62,613	2,341	64,954
Interim Parking Lease - Riverside	177,023	177,023	-	177,023
Center for Human Performance - Moreno Valley	112,009	112,009	-	112,009
Cosmetology Building - Riverside	142,500	142,500	-	142,500
Alumni Carriage House Restoration Project	122,269	122,270	-	122,270
IT Upgrade (including audit) - District Wide	5,840,000	5,127,745	35,226	5,162,971
Culinary Arts / District Office Building - District	33,411,018	31,087,825	1,311,546	32,399,371
Parking Structure Fall Deterrent - Riverside	7,576	7,576	-	7,576
Nursing Portables - Moreno Valley	705,338	705,338	-	705,338
Central Plant Boiler Replacement - Norco	161,848	161,847	-	161,847
DSA Project Closures - District Wide	7,434	7,290	-	7,290
Scheduled Maintenance - New - District Wide	2,860,000	2,652,532	-	2,652,532
Electronic Contract Document Storage - District Wide	50,000	-	-	-
2014 - 2015 IPP/FPP District	350,000	-	-	-
Program Contingnecy	3,489,248	-	-	-
Program Reserve	4,310,463	-	-	-
District Design Standards	355,000	345,031	-	345,031
Library Learning Center - Moreno Valley	127,000	127,000	-	127,000
Student Services Building - Riverside	25,925,000	19,994,753	646,789	20,641,542
Lovekin Parking/Tennis Project - Riverside	4,351,723	4,351,724	-	4,351,724
Food Services "grab-n'-go" Facility Project - Riverside	81,373	81,372	-	81,372
Master Plan Updates - District Wide	729,800	708,909	_	708,909
Swing Space - Market Street Properties	866,500	729,788	7,515	737,303
Groundwater Monitoring Wells - Norco	517,660	212,183	(1,035)	211,148
Emergency Phone Project - Moreno Valley	341,582	341,582	(1,055)	341,582
Self-Generation Incentive Program - Norco	3,110,000	3,084,801	(57,675)	3,027,126
-			(57,075)	
Physicians Assistant Laboratory Remodel - Moreno Valley	49,192	49,191	-	49,191
Visual & Performing Arts Center - Norco	114,000	114,000	-	114,000
Audio Visual Upgrade and Lighting Project - Moreno Valley	151,550	134,457	-	134,457
Mechanical Upgrade Project - Moreno Valley	660,245	660,245	-	660,245
Coil School for the Arts - Riverside Coil School for the Arts - Parking Structure	24,280,000 1,456,076	25,736,075	-	25,736,075
-	338,056,510	316,161,444	2,282,693	318,444,137
Total Capital Outlay	· · · · ·	<u>_</u>	2,282,093	
Series A Refunding Escrow	57,686,474	57,686,474	-	57,686,474
COPS Payoffs	11,582,875	11,582,873	-	11,582,873
Costs of issuance	2,839,859	3,026,475	-	3,026,475
Debt service	2,835,612	2,835,612	-	2,835,612
Election costs	98,236	98,236		98,236
Total Other Financing Uses	75,043,056	75,229,670	-	75,229,670
TOTALS <u>§</u>	413,099,566	\$ 391,391,114	\$ 2,282,693	\$ 393,673,807

\* Total project costs through June 30, 2016 was revised by \$292,281 for costs incurred in fiscal years 2013-14 and 2014-15.

See independent auditor's report -20-