

RIVERSIDE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT  
Board of Trustees Annual Planning Meeting – October 1, 2007, 6:00 p.m.,  
and October 2, 2007, 6:00 p.m., Board Room AD122, Riverside Campus

AGENDA

*Light Supper*

ORDER OF BUSINESS

Pledge of Allegiance

Anyone who wishes to make a presentation to the Board on an agenda item is requested to please fill out a “REQUEST TO ADDRESS THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES” card, available from the Public Affairs Officer. However, the Board Chairperson will invite comments on specific agenda items during the meeting before final votes are taken. Please make sure that the Secretary of the Board has the correct spelling of your name and address to maintain proper records. Comments should be limited to five (5) minutes or less.

- |      |  |   |
|------|--|---|
| I.   | <u>Review of Agenda and Expected Outcomes</u><br><b>Information Only</b>                                       | Jim Buysse,<br>Interim Chancellor                             |
| II.  | <u>Campus Strategic Planning Updates</u><br><b>Information Only</b>  | Michael Webster<br>Brenda Davis<br>Irv Hendrick<br>Linda Lacy |
| III. | <u>Industry Forums</u><br><b>Information Only</b>  | Amy Cardullo<br>Linda Reifschneider                           |
| IV.  | <u>Accreditation Agenda/Plan/Program</u><br><b>Information Only</b>  | Ray Maghroori<br>Sylvia Thomas                                |
| V.   | <u>Comments from the Public</u>  |   |
| VI.  | <u>Adjourn the Meeting until Tuesday, October 2, 2007 – 6:00 p.m.,<br/>Board Room AD 122, Riverside Campus</u> |   |

*Light Supper*

- |       |   |                                     |
|-------|---|-------------------------------------|
| VII.  | <u>Reconvene the Meeting (Tuesday, October 2, 2007 – 6:00 p.m.)</u> |                                     |
| VIII. | <u>Environmental Scans</u><br><b>Information Only</b>               | Kristina Kauffman<br>Chuck McIntyre |
| IX.   | <u>District Strategic Plan</u><br><b>Information Only</b>           | Jim Buysse                          |
| X.    | <u>Comments from the Public</u>                                     |                                     |
| XI.   | <u>Adjournment</u>  |                                     |



**ACCREDITING  
COMMISSION  
for COMMUNITY and  
JUNIOR COLLEGES**

10 COMMERCIAL BOULEVARD  
SUITE 204  
NOVATO, CA 94949  
TELEPHONE: (415) 506-0234  
FAX: (415) 506-0238  
E-MAIL: [accjc@accjc.org](mailto:accjc@accjc.org)  
[www.accjc.org](http://www.accjc.org)

Chairperson  
E. JAN KEHOE  
President/CEO  
CCLDI/CCLDIF

Vice Chairperson  
LURELEAN B. GAINES  
East Los Angeles College

President  
BARBARA A. BENO

Vice President  
DEBORAH G. BLUE

Vice President  
GARMAN JACK POND

Associate Vice President  
LILY OWYANG

Business Officer  
DEANNE WILBURN

ITAS  
TOM LANE

Administrative Assistant  
CLARE GOLDBERG

September 12, 2007

**Memo to: College Presidents, Chief Instructional Officers,  
Accreditation Liaison Officers**

**From: Barbara Beno, President**

**Subject: Attached Rubric for Evaluating Institutional Effectiveness**

I am pleased to send you a new "Rubric for Evaluating Institutional Effectiveness" that has been developed by the Commission for use by colleges in doing self-assessment, by teams examining college adherence to the Standards of Accreditation, and by the Commission in evaluating institutions. The purpose of the rubric is to provide some common language that can be used to describe a college's status *vis-à-vis* full adherence to the standards, as well as to provide a developmental framework for understanding each institution's actions toward achieving full compliance with standards. The Commission hopes the rubric will be a useful tool for colleges and evaluators.

For more than a decade, the Commission's Standards of Accreditation have required institutions to engage in systematic and regular program review as well as short and long-term planning and resource allocation processes that support the improvement of institutional and educational effectiveness. The 2002 Standards of Accreditation have added student learning outcomes assessment and improvement as important components to the required institutional processes of evaluation, planning and improvement.

As teams and the Commission evaluate institutional and educational effectiveness, these three areas – program review, the use of data and analyses to inform institutional planning and improvement, and the assessment of student learning – consistently emerge as areas in which institutions' seem to need additional guidance. The Commission, colleges, and teams have all indicated they need a device other than pure narrative for understanding and describing how well colleges have done in reaching full compliance with the standards. In the past, self study reports and team reports have reflected the authors' unique efforts to find appropriate summative descriptive terms to best communicate each institution's status. This rubric provides for greater consistency in those descriptive narratives.

It is important to note the sample behaviors described in each text box of the rubric are *not* new criteria or standards by which an institution will be evaluated, but are rather examples of behavior that, if characteristic of an institution, would indicate its stage of implementation of the standards. College leaders may find the rubric helpful in assessing what additional efforts institutions should undertake to achieve full compliance with the Standards of Accreditation.

Finally, institutions and teams should be aware that the Commission expects that institutions be at the Sustainable Continuous Quality Improvement level in Program Review of academic programs (including all educational services). Many institutions have not developed sustained processes for evaluating administrative services, but all should be above the Awareness level in these efforts. The Commission also expects that institutions be at the Sustainable Continuous Quality Improvement level in Planning. The Commission further expects that institutions be at the Development level or above in Student Learning Outcomes, since these are the *newest requirements* included in the Standards of Accreditation. When it adopted the 2002 Standards, the Commission stated it anticipated institutions would need eight to ten years to come into full compliance with the new standards on student learning outcomes assessment and improvement. Of course, the ultimate goal is for institutions to achieve the Sustainable Continuous Quality Improvement level in all three areas.

I hope that this rubric is helpful to you in your leadership work at your campus. The Commission welcomes any ideas for improving this rubric or its use to enhance institutional effectiveness.

BAB

Attachment: Rubric

**Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges**  
Western Association of Schools and Colleges

**Rubric for Evaluating Institutional Effectiveness – Part I: Program Review**  
(See attached instructions on how to use this rubric.)

<b>Levels of Implementation</b>	<b>Characteristics of Institutional Effectiveness in Program Review</b> <i>(Sample institutional behaviors)</i>
<b>Awareness</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is preliminary investigative dialogue at the institution or within some departments about what data or process should be used for program review.</li> <li>• There is recognition of existing practices and models in program review that make use of institutional research.</li> <li>• There is exploration of program review models by various departments or individuals.</li> <li>• The college is implementing pilot program review models in a few programs/operational units.</li> </ul>
<b>Development</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Program review is embedded in practice across the institution using qualitative and quantitative data to improve program effectiveness.</li> <li>• Dialogue about the results of program review is evident within the program as part of discussion of program effectiveness.</li> <li>• Leadership groups throughout the institution accept responsibility for program review framework development (Senate, Admin. Etc.)</li> <li>• Appropriate resources are allocated to conducting program review of meaningful quality.</li> <li>• Development of a framework for linking results of program review to planning for improvement.</li> <li>• Development of a framework to align results of program review to resource allocation.</li> </ul>
<b>Proficiency</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Program review processes are in place and implemented regularly.</li> <li>• Results of all program review are integrated into institution- wide planning for improvement and informed decision-making.</li> <li>• The program review framework is established and implemented.</li> <li>• Dialogue about the results of all program reviews is evident throughout the institution as part of discussion of institutional effectiveness.</li> <li>• Results of program review are clearly and consistently linked to institutional planning processes and resource allocation processes; college can demonstrate or provide specific examples.</li> <li>• The institution evaluates the effectiveness of its program review processes in supporting and improving student achievement and student learning outcomes.</li> </ul>
<b>Sustainable Continuous Quality Improvement</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Program review processes are ongoing, systematic and used to assess and improve student learning and achievement.</li> <li>• The institution reviews and refines its program review processes to improve institutional effectiveness.</li> <li>• The results of program review are used to continually refine and improve program practices resulting in appropriate improvements in student achievement and learning.</li> </ul>

**Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges**  
Western Association of Schools and Colleges

**Rubric for Evaluating Institutional Effectiveness – Part II: Planning**

(See attached instructions on how to use this rubric.)

<b>Levels of Implementation</b>	<b>Characteristics of Institutional Effectiveness in Planning</b> <i>(Sample institutional behaviors)</i>
<b>Awareness</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The college has preliminary investigative dialogue about planning processes.</li> <li>• There is recognition of case need for quantitative and qualitative data and analysis in planning.</li> <li>• The college has initiated pilot projects and efforts in developing systematic cycle of evaluation, integrated planning and implementation (e.g. in human or physical resources).</li> <li>• Planning found in only some areas of college operations.</li> <li>• There is exploration of models and definitions and issues related to planning.</li> <li>• There is minimal linkage between plans and a resource allocation process, perhaps planning for use of "new money"</li> <li>• The college may have a consultant-supported plan for facilities, or a strategic plan.</li> </ul>
<b>Development</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The Institution has defined a planning process and assigned responsibility for implementing it.</li> <li>• The Institution has identified quantitative and qualitative data and is using it.</li> <li>• Planning efforts are specifically linked to institutional mission and goals.</li> <li>• The Institution uses applicable quantitative data to improve institutional effectiveness in some areas of operation.</li> <li>• Governance and decision-making processes incorporate review of institutional effectiveness in mission and plans for improvement.</li> <li>• Planning processes reflect the participation of a broad constituent base.</li> </ul>
<b>Proficiency</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The college has a well documented, ongoing process for evaluating itself in all areas of operation, analyzing and publishing the results and planning and implementing improvements.</li> <li>• The institution's component plans are integrated into a comprehensive plan to achieve broad educational purposes, and improve institutional effectiveness.</li> <li>• The institution effectively uses its human, physical, technology and financial resources to achieve its broad educational purposes, including stated student learning outcomes.</li> <li>• The college has documented assessment results and communicated matters of quality assurance to appropriate constituencies (documents data and analysis of achievement of its educational mission).</li> <li>• The institution assesses progress toward achieving its education goals over time (uses longitudinal data and analyses).</li> <li>• The institution plans and effectively incorporates results of program review in all areas of educational services: instruction, support services, library and learning resources.</li> <li>• Program review processes are ongoing, systematic and used to assess and improve student learning and achievement.</li> </ul>
<b>Sustainable Continuous Quality Improvement</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The institution uses ongoing and systematic evaluation and planning to refine its key processes and improve student learning.</li> <li>• There is dialogue about institutional effectiveness that is ongoing, robust and pervasive; data and analyses are widely distributed and used throughout the institution.</li> <li>• There is ongoing review and adaptation of evaluation and planning processes.</li> <li>• There is consistent and continuous commitment to improving student learning; and educational effectiveness is a demonstrable priority in all planning structures and processes.</li> </ul>

**Accrediting Commission for Community and Junior Colleges**  
Western Association of Schools and Colleges

**Rubric for Evaluating Institutional Effectiveness – Part III: Student Learning Outcomes**

(See attached instructions on how to use this rubric.)

<b>Levels of Implementation</b>	<b>Characteristics of Institutional Effectiveness in Student Learning Outcomes</b> <i>(Sample institutional behaviors)</i>
<b>Awareness</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• There is preliminary, investigative dialogue about student learning outcomes.</li> <li>• There is recognition of existing practices such as course objectives and how they relate to student learning outcomes.</li> <li>• There is exploration of models, definitions, and issues taking place by a few people.</li> <li>• Pilot projects and efforts may be in progress.</li> <li>• The college has discussed whether to define student learning outcomes at the level of some courses or programs or degrees; where to begin.</li> </ul>
<b>Development</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• College has established an institutional framework for definition of student learning outcomes (where to start), how to extend, and timeline.</li> <li>• College has established authentic assessment strategies for assessing student learning outcomes as appropriate to intended course, program, and degree learning outcomes.</li> <li>• Existing organizational structures (e.g. Senate, Curriculum Committee) are supporting strategies for student learning outcomes definition and assessment.</li> <li>• Leadership groups (e.g. Academic Senate and administration), have accepted responsibility for student learning outcomes implementation.</li> <li>• Appropriate resources are being allocated to support student learning outcomes and assessment.</li> <li>• Faculty and staff are fully engaged in student learning outcomes development.</li> </ul>
<b>Proficiency</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Student learning outcomes and authentic assessment are in place for courses, programs and degrees.</li> <li>• Results of assessment are being used for improvement and further alignment of institution-wide practices.</li> <li>• There is widespread institutional dialogue about the results.</li> <li>• Decision-making includes dialogue on the results of assessment and is purposefully directed toward improving student learning.</li> <li>• Appropriate resources continue to be allocated and fine-tuned.</li> <li>• Comprehensive assessment reports exist and are completed on a regular basis.</li> <li>• Course student learning outcomes are aligned with degree student learning outcomes.</li> <li>• Students demonstrate awareness of goals and purposes of courses and programs in which they are enrolled.</li> </ul>
<b>Sustainable Continuous Quality Improvement</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Student learning outcomes and assessment are ongoing, systematic and used for continuous quality improvement.</li> <li>• Dialogue about student learning is ongoing, pervasive and robust.</li> <li>• Evaluation and fine-tuning of organizational structures to support student learning is ongoing.</li> <li>• Student learning improvement is a visible priority in all practices and structures across the college.</li> <li>• Learning outcomes are specifically linked to program reviews.</li> </ul>

JP;DB: cg 8/2007

August 23.2007

Moreno Valley Campus, Riverside Community College District  
Comprehensive Evaluation Visit Team Roster  
Monday, October 8—Thursday, October 11, 2007

Dr. Steve Maradian (CHAIR)  
President  
Los Angeles City College  
855 North Vermont Avenue  
Los Angeles CA 90029  
E-mail: [maradis@lacitycollege.edu](mailto:maradis@lacitycollege.edu)  
Telephone: 323-953-4010  
FAX: 323-953-4009

Ms. Rebecca Tillberg (Assistant)  
Dean, Research and Planning  
Los Angeles City College  
855 N. Vermont Ave  
Los Angeles, CA 90029  
E-mail: [tillberw@lacitycollege.edu](mailto:tillberw@lacitycollege.edu)  
Telephone: 323-953-4000, x2372  
FAX: 323-953-4439

Dr. Thom Armstrong  
Former Superintendent-President  
Copper Mountain College  
5775 Sunrise Road  
Twentynine Palms CA 92277  
e-mail: [thomarm@adelphia.net](mailto:thomarm@adelphia.net)  
Telephone: 760-361-1846  
FAX:

Dr. Tania Beliz  
Professor of Biology  
College of San Mateo  
1700 W. Hillsdale Blvd  
San Mateo CA 94402  
e-mail: [beliz@smccd.net](mailto:beliz@smccd.net)  
Telephone: 650-574-6248  
FAX: 650-574-6244

Ms. Debbie Distant  
Bibliographic Instruction Librarian  
Mt. San Antonio College  
1100 N. Grand Ave  
Walnut CA 91789  
e-mail: [ddistant@mtsac.edu](mailto:ddistant@mtsac.edu)  
Telephone: 909-594-5611 x4285  
FAX: 909-463-4011

Dr. Betty Inclan  
Vice President/Associate Superintendent  
Allan Hancock College  
800 South College Drive  
Santa Maria CA 93454  
e-mail: [binclan@hancockcollege.edu](mailto:binclan@hancockcollege.edu)  
Telephone: 805-922-6966 x3247  
FAX: 805-347-9896

Mr. Robert Livingston  
Instructor  
Cerritos College  
11110 Alondra Blvd  
Norwalk CA 90650  
e-mail: [blivingston@cerritos.edu](mailto:blivingston@cerritos.edu)  
Telephone: 562-860-2451 x2708  
FAX:

Mr. Kevin McElroy  
Vice President, Administrative Services  
Coastline Community College  
11460 Warner Avenue  
Fountain Valley CA 92708  
e-mail: [kmcelroy@coastline.edu](mailto:kmcelroy@coastline.edu)  
Telephone: 714-241-6144  
FAX: 714-241-6252

Dr. Jose Michel  
Vice President of Student Affairs  
San Joaquin Delta College  
5151 Pacific Avenue  
Stockton CA 95207  
e-mail: [jmichel@deltacollege.edu](mailto:jmichel@deltacollege.edu)  
Telephone: 209-954-5632  
FAX: 209-954-5600

Dr. Chris Myers  
Director Institutional Research & Planning  
Hartnell College  
156 Homestead Ave  
Salinas CA 93901  
e-mail: [cmyers@hartnell.edu](mailto:cmyers@hartnell.edu)  
Telephone: 831-755-6809  
FAX: 831-759-6045



September 26, 2007

**Norco Campus, Riverside Community College District  
Comprehensive Evaluation Visit Team Roster  
Monday, October 8-Thursday, October 11, 2007**

The self study report, a catalog, and class schedule should be sent to each team member eight weeks prior to the visit in addition to the four hard copies and one electronic version sent to the Commission office.

Dr. Tyree Wieder (CHAIR)  
President  
Los Angeles Valley College  
5800 Fulton Avenue  
Valley Glen CA 91401-4096  
e-mail: [wiederto@lavc.edu](mailto:wiederto@lavc.edu)  
Telephone: 818-947-2321  
FAX: 818-947-2602

Ms. Deborah Kaye (Assistant)  
English Instructor  
Los Angeles Valley College  
5800 Fulton Avenue  
Valley Glen CA 91401-4096  
E-mail: [kayedr@lavc.edu](mailto:kayedr@lavc.edu)  
Telephone: 818-947-2805 or 818-378-9175-Cell  
FAX: 818-947-2602

Mr. James Austin  
Vice President Business Services  
Mira Costa College  
One Barnard Dr.  
Oceanside CA 92056-3899  
e-mail: [jaustin@miracosta.edu](mailto:jaustin@miracosta.edu)  
Telephone: 760-795-6830  
FAX: 760-795-6836

Dr. Kathleen Burke-Kelly  
VP Academic Affairs  
Los Angeles City College  
855 N. Vermont Ave.  
Los Angeles CA 90029  
e-mail: [burkekk@lacitycollege.edu](mailto:burkekk@lacitycollege.edu)  
Telephone: 323-953-4000 ext. 2052  
FAX:

Mr. James Barr  
Senior Research Analyst  
American River College  
4700 College Oak Drive  
Sacramento CA 95841  
e-mail: [barrj@arc.losrios.edu](mailto:barrj@arc.losrios.edu)  
Telephone: 916-484-8846  
FAX: 916-484-8680

Dr. Theda Douglas  
Dean of Student Services  
Los Angeles Trade Technical College  
400 West Washington Blvd  
Los Angeles CA 90015  
e-mail: [douglats@lattc.edu](mailto:douglats@lattc.edu)  
Telephone: 213-763-7101  
FAX: 213-763-5393

Ms. Anita Black  
Professor/Staff Development  
Officer/District S.D. Officer  
Merritt College  
12500 Campus Drive  
Oakland CA 94619  
e-mail: [ablack@peralta.edu](mailto:ablack@peralta.edu)  
Telephone: 510-466-7313  
FAX: 510-464-3231

Ms. Teresa Grenot  
Library  
Los Angeles Trade-Technical College  
c/o 2046 Addison Way  
Los Angeles CA 90041  
e-mail: [grenott@yahoo.com](mailto:grenott@yahoo.com)  
Telephone: 323-982-1459  
Cell: 818-679-0493

Dr. David Bugay  
Vice President, Human Resources  
College of the Desert  
43500 Monterey Avenue  
Palm Desert CA 92260  
e-mail: [dbugay@collegeofthedesert.edu](mailto:dbugay@collegeofthedesert.edu)  
Telephone: 760-674-3777  
FAX: 760-773-5877

Mr. Felix Hernandez  
Executive Director, Facilities & Operations  
Allan Hancock College  
800 South College Drive  
Santa Maria CA 93454  
e-mail: [fhernandez@hancockcollege.edu](mailto:fhernandez@hancockcollege.edu)  
Telephone: 805-922-6966 x3254  
FAX: 805-922-8722

Norco Campus, RCCD  
September 26, 2007  
Page Two

Mr. Robert Rockwell  
History Professor & SLO Coordinator  
Mt. San Jacinto College  
1499 N. State Street  
San Jacinto CA 92583  
e-mail: brockwel@msjc.edu  
Telephone: 951-487-6752 xt 1597  
FAX: 951-654-1597

Dr. Gary Yee  
Acting Vice Chancellor  
Peralta Community College District  
333 East 8th Street  
Oakland CA 94607  
e-mail: gyee@peralta.edu  
Telephone: 510-466-7300  
FAX: 510-531-4630

Riverside City College

Comprehensive Evaluation Visit Team Roster  
Monday, October 8-Thursday, October 11, 2007

Dr. Eva Conrad (CHAIR)  
President  
Moorpark College  
7075 Campus Road  
Moorpark CA 93021  
e-mail: [econrad@vcccd.net](mailto:econrad@vcccd.net)  
Telephone: 805-378-1407  
FAX: 805-378-1581

Ms. Lisa Putnam (Assistant)  
Institutional Researcher  
Moorpark College  
7075 Campus Road  
Moorpark CA 93021  
e-mail: [lputnam@vcccd.edu](mailto:lputnam@vcccd.edu)  
Telephone: 805-378-1492  
FAX:

Ms. Lori Bennett (Assistant)  
Dean of Student Learning  
Moorpark College  
7075 Campus Road  
Moorpark CA 93021  
e-mail: [lbennett@vcccd.edu](mailto:lbennett@vcccd.edu)  
Telephone: 805-378-1400 x1773  
FAX:

Ms. KC Boylan  
Professor, Speech Communications  
Folsom Lake College  
10 College Parkway  
Folsom CA 95630  
e-mail: [boylank@flc.losrios.edu](mailto:boylank@flc.losrios.edu)  
Telephone: 916-608-6628  
FAX: 916-608-6534

Mr. William Carrick  
Professor Reading/Learning Disabilities  
Chaffey College  
5885 Haven Ave  
Rancho Cucamonga CA 91737  
e-mail: [will.carrick@chaffey.edu](mailto:will.carrick@chaffey.edu)  
Telephone: 909-652-6387  
FAX: 909-466-2384

Mr. Mohamed Eisa  
Dean of Planning, Research, and Student  
Outcomes  
Diablo Valley College  
321 Golf Club Road  
Pleasant Hill CA 94523  
e-mail: meisa@dvc.edu  
Telephone: 925.685.1230 x 2617  
FAX: 925-685-3569

Dr. Anita Grier  
Member Board of Trustees  
San Francisco CCD  
106 Byxbee St.  
San Francisco CA 94132  
e-mail: dralgrier@aol.com  
Telephone: 415-254-9282  
FAX: 415-239-3918

Mr. William Karns  
Vice Chancellor, Education & Technology  
Los Rios Community College District  
1919 Spanos Court  
Sacramento CA 95825  
e-mail: karnsw@losrios.edu  
Telephone: 916-568-3031  
FAX: 916-568-3023

Ms. Anne Licciardi  
Dean, Mathematics/Statistics/Engineering  
Sacramento City College  
3835 Freeport Blvd  
Sacramento CA 95822  
e-mail: licciaa@scc.losrios.edu  
Telephone: 916-558-2201  
FAX: 916-558-2655

Dr. Richard Robertson  
Vice President, Student Services  
Mira Costa College  
One Barnard Drive  
Oceanside CA 92056  
e-mail: drobertson@miracosta.edu  
Telephone: 760-795-6898  
FAX: 760-757-8209

Mr. Charles Rogers  
Controller  
San Diego Community College District  
3375 Camino del Rio South  
San Diego, Ca 92108-6913

e-mail: [crogers@sdccd.edu](mailto:crogers@sdccd.edu)  
Telephone: 619-388-6555  
FAX: 619-388-6987